The Mismatched Landscape: Spatial approach to Renewable Energy Planning A case study in Victoria, Australia

> Siqing Chen, MLA, PhD University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia chens@unimelb.edu.au DLA 2019 Dessau, 22-25 May 2019

- How the energy landscape is mismatched?
- How spatial planning can help to achieve sustainable energy planning outcomes?



## Renewable Energy Sources Are Set to Expand Rapidly

Renewable energy receives strong policy support, and is becoming more competitive.

#### Affordability

- The costs of solar PV and wind continue to fall as renewable technologies mature
- Oil prices climbed above \$80/barrel in 2018 for the first time in four years

#### Reliability

- Risks to oil and gas supply remain: shipping and distribution
- So are the instabilities in renewable energy supply (better planning practice)

#### Sustainability

- GHG emissions rose by 1.6% in 2017
- Emissions may continue to grow, far from a trajectory consistent with climate goals.
- Energy-related air pollution continues to result in millions of premature deaths each year.

# Background: Current Energy Mix



#### Renewable breakdown

Solar hotwater	0.17%
Geothermal heat	0.12%
Hydropower	3.34%
Ethanol	0.50%
Biodiesel	0.17%
<b>Biomass electricit</b>	y 0.28%
Wind power	0.51%
Geothermal electr	icity 0.07%
Solar PV power	0.06%
Solar CSP	0.002%
Ocean power	0.001%

Source: BP, Statistical Review of World Energy 2015

## **Global energy end-use**









The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on maps included in this publication do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the IEA.

## Cumulative investment in energy-supply infrastructure 2007-2030 (IEA, 2008)





Smart Cities and Smart Regions?

What can we do as a landscape designer or planner?

# $E = mc^2$

# E = f (Landscape: hydro, wind, solar, biomass, etc.)

## Energy and Landscape

- Energy and landscape should be seen in relation to each other (Sijmons, 2014)
- Decision-making regarding energy infrastructure design for energy supply and distribution should be informed by the regional energy landscape.

#### Investment on solar energy development, EU-28 (Castillo et al, 2016)





#### Geographical location of the existing solar farms currently in operation (Castillo et al, 2016)









## Australia

- Unsustainable nature of current patterns of energy supply
  - Oil 38%; coal 32%; 24% natural gas; 6% renewable (DOIS, 2015)
- Total final energy consumption keeps increasing in Australia (1%: 2013-2014)
- **Australia** is the largest emitter per capita (30t CO<sub>2</sub>-e in 2005)
- 10 August 2015, Australian Government has agreed a new target of 26-28 per cent below 2005 levels by 2030 (14.7-15.1 t CO<sub>2</sub>-e)
- CSIRO predicts that by **2050** around **30%** of Australia's energy supply will come from **solar power** (CSIRO, 2010)
  - Australia has the highest average solar radiation per sqm of any continent in the world (Zahedi, 2010)
  - Annual solar radiation falling on Australia is 10,000 times Australia's annual energy consumption (DOAFF, 2011)
  - Australia has been internationally criticized for producing very little of its energy from solar power, despite its vast resources and overall high potential (Byrnes et al, 2013)
  - Wind resource is abundant in Australia (Yusaf et al, 2011)





## Victoria, Australia

Victorian renewable energy generation output by calendar year

	Output (GWh)						
Fuel type	2009	2010	2011	2012			
Bioenergy	554	605	633	651			
Hydro	506	748	813	950			
Solar	18	61	225	550			
Wind	1028	1217	1280	1674			
Total	2106	2632	2951	3825			



In 2012, renewable energy generation produced from Victoria met around **7.7%** of the state's total electricity demand (CEC, 2012)

- Sufficient Energy Resources: Only areas with an average annual wind speed greater or equal to 6m/s are considered suitable for wind farms.
- Planning Zone Considerations: The potential site locations were limited to the following planning zones: Farming Zone (FZ), Green Wedge Zone (GWZ), Rural Conservation Zone (RCZ), Rural Activity Zone (RAZ), Mixed Use Zone (MUZ) and Industrial Zones (IN\*)
- Land Use Protection of Flora: It was necessary to ensure that the proposed sites were not located in areas of dense vegetation.
- Distance from Townships: A one-kilometre buffer was placed around towns to minimise aesthetic disturbance to communities.
- **Threatened Fauna:** Potential site areas were then limited to areas more than five kilometres from sightings of threatened fauna.
- **Airports:** Potential sites were greater than ten kilometres from any airport.
- **High Voltage Power lines:** To facilitate the connection of power plants to the State electricity grid, potential sites were preferred within 15 kilometres of high voltage power lines.

## Wind Farm Suitability



#### **Electricity generation and transmission Lines**





## Case study: To design with the Mismatch

- 1. Understand the spatial/temporal variations of renewable energy
- 2. Spatial planning

#### Data

	Datasets	Attributes	<b>Resolution/Format</b>	Data Source
Solar	Solar radiation	Monthly average	1990-2011, 2km raster	BOM (Bureau of Meteorology)
Wind	Wind speed	Daily aver- age	1975-2006, 2km raster	CSIRO Land and Water, 2008 McVicar, 2008
	SRTM DEM		30m raster	Geoscience Aus- tralia, 2014
Ancillary	Population density, 2016 Australia Cen- sus	Annual	1km raster	LandScan, 2016; ABS, 2017
datasets	Energy Intensity (Secondary)	Annual	1km raster	LandScan, 2016; ABS, 2017; CEA, 2016
	National grid		Vector data	Geoscience Aus- tralia, 2012; 2013

#### Methods



- Installed Capacity x Capacity Factor = Actual Production
- Installed capacity are on the daily term
- Actual production are generally on annul term

## Results

#### Spatial and temporal variation of solar energy



Solar energy capacity (per m<sup>2</sup> per day) in Victoria (1990-2011 average); based on Capacity Factor = 25% (DELWP, 2016)

## **Mapping Wind Energy Capacity**

$$E_{wind} = \frac{1}{2} m_{air} \times v^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\rho_{air} \times A \times v \times t) \times v^2 = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{air} \times A \times t \times v^3 \quad (1)$$

where  $E_{wind}$  is wind energy;  $m_{air}$  is the mass of air; v is wind speed (KISSELL 2010);  $\rho_{air}$  is the density of air, which varies with the altitude and time, and depends on the temperature and pressure. However, since the variations are very small, average air density at ground level (1.225 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) is widely accepted as the air density in the hub height of wind turbines (CARRILLO ET AL. 2013).

Power is energy per unit time, so the wind power is  $P_{wind} = E_{wind} / t = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{air} \times A \times v^3$ (2)

 $P_{wind}$  is total wind energy capacity per second.

Considering *A* (or the blades of a turbine) is often perpendicular to ground, the total maximum possible *A* is calculated using the total land area in Victoria, the rotor diameters, and spacing between wind turbines are used to estimate the wind energy capacity. The outputs from the model display distinct seasonal and spatial variations of solar energy capacity in Victoria.

## Results

## Spatial and temporal variation of wind energy



Total daily wind energy in Victoria (1975-2006 average), based on Capacity Factor = 34%; rotor diameter = 60m, spacing between wind turbines perpendicular to wind = 120m; parallel to wind = 180m

## Results

## Aggregation of solar and wind energy



Total daily wind and solar energy in Victoria, based on multiple years solar and wind energy data

## Outcomes from the aggregation analysis

 Alleviation of uneven spatial distribution of wind and solar energy.

Thanks to contrary spatial patterns of solar and wind energy potential in Victoria (i.e. solar power capacity decreases from inland to the coastal areas, while the wind power capacity increases from inland to coastal areas), the aggregation serves to alleviate the uneven spatial distribution of wind and solar energy in Victoria.

## Seasonal polarisation of total solar and wind power

The energy potential for both solar and wind are higher in hot seasons and lower in cold seasons. The aggregation exacerbates the seasonal renewable energy variations. This requires planning strategies that can precisely handle the risks of polarisation in energy production.



Spatial heterogeneity of total annual energy consumption in Victoria



Spatial heterogeneity of annual renewable energy (solar + wind) and energy infrastructure in Victoria



and Total Energy Consumption in Victoria

 Energy production should be as local to its consumers as possible, so that the energy transmission cost and loss could be minimized (Chen, 2018)



Investment on energy supply infrastructure (IEA, 2008)

# Discussion

- The mismatch between energy capacity (production) and demand (consumption)
  - Spatial
  - Temporal
- Aggregation
  - Alleviation: when patterns of production are positively related with demand
  - Aggravation or polarisation
  - Could be in spatial or temporal terms
- Aggregation/Dis-aggregation combining multiple forms of renewable energy to inform allocating resources where/when needed





Melbourne and most areas in Victoria have a long cold winter. The number of hot days (30°C or above) is 35, while the number of cold days (18°C or below) is 159.



Source: http://www.bom.gov.au/



Renewable energy generation in Victoria

## **Phenology of Foliage Biomass**



# **Outlook and Future Work**

Spatial aggregation combining multiple forms of renewable energy at multiple scales is promising

- Large scale solar farms
  - Off-grid: remote mining industries, etc.
  - Grid connected
- Medium scale solar farms
  - Off-grid: commercial operations
  - Grid connected
- Small scale solar farms
  - Off-grid: remote settlements
  - Grid connected: households
- Towards 100% clean and renewable energy supply for all uses by combining with other forms of renewable energy sources (wind, biomass, geothermal, etc.)
- Smart energy city case study